# Synthetic topology in Homotopy Type Theory for probabilistic programming

Martin Bidlingmaier Florian Faissole Bas Spitters

1907.10674

Martin Bidlingmaier, Florian Faissole, Bas SpiSynthetic topology in Homotopy Type Theory

1907.10674 1 / 27

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

# Monadic programming with effects

Moggi's computational  $\lambda$ -calculus Kleisli category of a monad:

- $Obj(\mathcal{C}_T) = Obj(\mathcal{C});$
- $\mathcal{C}_T(A,B) = \mathcal{C}(A,T(B)).$

Used for:

```
Partial functions: X + \bot
State: (X \times S)^S
Non-determinism: \mathcal{P}(X)
Discrete probabilities: convex(X)
```

3

## Probability theory

- Classical probability: measures on σ-algebras of sets σ-algebra: collection closed under countable U, ∩ measure: σ-additive map to ℝ.
- Giry monad:
  - $X \mapsto Meas(X)$  is a monad...
  - ... on measurable spaces,
  - ... on subcategories of topological spaces or domains.

valuations restrict measures to open sets.

Problem 1: Meas is not CCC Problem 2: Not a monad on Set

Use a synthetic approach

3

ヨト・モラト

# Plan

Plan:

- Develop a richer semantics using topos theory
- Synthetic topology and its models
- Probability theory using synthetic topology
- Use HoTT to formalize this

Both computable and topological semantics

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

#### Synthetic topology

Martin Bidlingmaier, Florian Faissole, Bas SpiSynthetic topology in Homotopy Type Theory

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

# Synthetic topology

#### Scott: Synthetic domain theory

Domains as sets in a topos (Hyland, Rosolini, ...)

By adding axioms to the topos we make a DSL for domains. Synthetic topology

(Brouwer, ..., Escardo, Taylor, Vickers, Bauer, ..., Lešnik) Every object carries a topology, all maps are continuous Idea: Sierpinski space  $\mathbb{S} = (\bigcirc)$  classifies opens:

 $O(X)\cong X\to \mathbb{S}$ 

Convenient category of/type theory for 'topological' spaces. Synthetic (real) computability semi-decidable truth values S classify semi-decidable subsets.

Common generalization based on abstract properties for  $\mathbb{S} \subset \Omega$ : Dominance axiom: monos classified by  $\mathbb{S}$  compose.

## Synthetic topology

Ambient logic: predicative topos (hSets).

**Assumption**: free  $\omega$ -cpo completions exist.

This follows from:

- QIITs [ADK16]
- countable choice
- impredicativity
- classical logic

The  $\omega$ -cpo completion of 1 is a dominance.

3

글 > - - 글 > -

More axioms for synthetic topology

#### Definition

A space X is metrizable if its intrisic topology, given by  $X \to \mathbb{S}$ , coincides with a metric topology.

The fan principle: Fan:  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is metrizable and compact

Intuitionistic, will be used for the synthetic Lebesgue measure.

Fix such a topos where every object comes with a topology.

## Models for synthetic topology

Standard axioms for continuous computations: Brouwer, Kleene-Vesley  $K_2$ -realizability (TTE) Gives a realizability topos

 $\mathsf{CAC} \vdash \mathbb{S}$  is the set of increasing binary sequences modulo

 $\alpha \sim \beta$  iff there exists n,  $\alpha n = \beta n = 1$ .

# **Big Topos**

Topological site:

A category of topological spaces closed under open inclusions Covering by jointly epi open inclusions Big topos: sheaves over such a site  $\mathbb{S}$  is Yoneda of the Sierpinski space

Fourman: Model for intuitionism: all maps are continuous

Convenient category: Nice category vs nice objects

#### Valuation monad

Martin Bidlingmaier, Florian Faissole, Bas SpiSynthetic topology in Homotopy Type Theory

æ

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

# Valuations and Lower integrals

Lower Reals:  $r : \mathbb{R}_l := \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{S}$   $\forall p, r(p) \iff \exists q, (p < q) \land r(q).$  $\rightsquigarrow$  lower semi-continuous topology.

#### Valuations:

Valuations on A : Set:  $Val(A) = (A \to \mathbb{S}) \to \mathbb{R}_l^+$ 

- $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$
- Modularity
- Monotonicity
- $\omega$ -continuity

Dedekind Reals:  $\mathbb{R}_D \subset \underbrace{(\mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{S})}_{lower \ real} \times \underbrace{(\mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{S})}_{upper \ real}$ 

#### Integrals:

Positive integrals:

 $Int^+(A) = (A \to \mathbb{R}_D^+) \to \mathbb{R}_D^+$ 

- $\int (\lambda x.0) = 0$
- Additivity
- Monotonicity
- $\omega$ -continuity

Riesz theorem: homeomorphism between integrals and valuations. Constructive proof (Coquand/S): A regular compact locale.

# Valuations and Lower integrals

Lower Reals:  $r : \mathbb{R}_l := \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{S}$   $\forall p, r(p) \iff \exists q, (p < q) \land r(q).$  $\rightsquigarrow$  lower semi-continuous topology.

#### Valuations:

Valuations on A : Set:  $Val(A) = (A \to \mathbb{S}) \to \mathbb{R}_l^+$ 

- $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$
- Modularity
- Monotonicity
- $\omega$ -continuity

Dedekind Reals:  $\mathbb{R}_D \subset \underbrace{(\mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{S})}_{lower \ real} \times \underbrace{(\mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{S})}_{upper \ real}$ 

Lower integrals: Positive integrals:  $Int^+(A) = (A \to \mathbb{R}_l^+) \to \mathbb{R}_l^+$ 

• 
$$\int (\lambda x.0) = 0$$

Additivity

- Monotonicity
- $\omega$ -continuity

Riesz theorem: homeomorphism between integrals and valuations. Constructive proof by Vickers: A locale. Here: synthetically.

1907.10674 13 / 27

HoTT book: 'one experiment with QIITs is enough...'

We've done the experiment: We've learned:

- $\bullet$  the lower reals are the  $\omega\text{-cpo}$  completion of  $\mathbb Q$
- $\bullet$  avoid countable choice by indexing by  $\mathbb S$
- similarity with geometric reasoning (open power set, no choice)

14/27

Image: A matrix and a matrix

Fubini: the monad is (almost) commutative

So far, classically,  $\omega$ -supported discrete valuations.

To construct the Lebesgue valuation we use the fan principle: the locale  $2^{\omega}$  is spatial.

3

#### Probabilistic programming

Martin Bidlingmaier, Florian Faissole, Bas SpiSynthetic topology in Homotopy Type Theory

æ

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

#### Monadic semantics

Kleisli category:

Giry monad: (space) ↔ (space of its valuations):

- functor  $\mathcal{M}$  :  $Space \rightarrow Space$ .
- unit operator  $\eta_x = \delta_x$  (Dirac)

• bind operator 
$$(\mu >>= M)(f) = \int_{\underline{\mu}} \lambda x.(Mx) f.$$

•

$$(>>=) :: \mathcal{M}A \to (A \to \mathcal{M}B) \to \mathcal{M}B.$$

A 3 >

### Function types

To interpret the full computational  $\lambda\text{-calculus}$  we need T-exponents  $(A \to TB)$  as objects.

The standard Giry monads do not support this.

hSet is cartesian closed, so we obtain a higher order language.

Moreover, the Kleisli category is  $\omega$ -cpo enriched (subprobability valuations), so we can interpret PCF with fix [Plotkin-Power].

Rich semantics for a programming language.

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q () →

# Unfolding

Huang developed an efficient compiled higher order probabilistic programming language: augur/v2

Semantics in topological domains (domains with computability structure)

#### Theorem (Huang/Morrisett/S)

Markov's Principle ⊢ The interpretation of the monadic calculus in the K2-realizability topos gives the same interpretation as in topological domains.

#### Finally: HoTT...

Martin Bidlingmaier, Florian Faissole, Bas SpiSynthetic topology in Homotopy Type Theory

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲厘▶ ▲厘▶ →

# Type theory

Formalizing this construction in homotopy type theory.

- Correctness, proof assistant for continuous probabilistic programs
- Programming language with an expressive type system
- Potentially: type theory based on K2 (as in Prl)

3

(日)

Discrete probabilities : ALEA library

ALEA library (Audebaud, Paulin-Mohring) basis for CertiCrypt

- Discrete measure theory in Coq;
- Monadic approach (Giry, Jones/Plotkin, ...):

► CPS: 
$$(A \to [0,1]) \to [0,1]$$
  
'meas. functions'

submonad: monotonicity, summability, linearity.

Example: flip coin : Mbool

 $\lambda \; (f: bool \rightarrow [0,1]).(0.5 \times f(true) + 0.5 \times f(false))$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ シ۹ペー

Coq lacks quotient types and functional extensionality. ALEA uses setoids,  $(T, \equiv)$ . ('exact completion')

Use Univalent homotopy type theory as an internal type theory for a generalization of setoids, groupoids, ...

We use Coq's HoTT library. (CPP: Bauer, Gross, Lumsdaine, Shulman, Sozeau, Spitters)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● □ のへで

#### Toposes and types

How to formalize toposes in type theory? Rijke/S: hSets in HoTT form a (predicative) topos: large power objects.

Shulman: HoTT can interpreted in higher toposes. Here: higher topos over a topological site. hSets coincide with the 1-topos

Constructive model: Cubical stacks (Coquand) Cubical assemblies (Uemura)...

... However, hSet logic is different from the 1-topos

HoTT for predicative constructive maths without countable choice.

医下颌 医下颌

## Implementation in HoTT/Coq

Our basis: Cauchy reals in HoTT as QIIT (book, Gilbert)

- HoTTClasses: like MathClasses but for HoTT
- Experimental Induction-Recursion branch by Sozeau

Partiality (ADK): Construction in HoTT: free  $\omega$ -cpo completion as a higher inductive inductive type:

$$\begin{array}{ll} A_{\perp}:hSet & \perp:A_{\perp} & \eta:A \to A_{\perp} \\ & \subseteq_{A_{\perp}}:A_{\perp} \to A_{\perp} \to Type \\ \bigcup:\prod_{f:\mathbb{N}\to A_{\perp}}(\prod_{n:\mathbb{N}}f(n)\subseteq_{A_{\perp}}f(n+1)) \to A_{\perp} \\ & \subseteq \text{ must satisfy the expected relations.} \end{array}$$

S:=Partial(1).

# Higher order probabilistic computation (Related work)

Compare: Top is not Cartesian closed.

1. Define a convenient super category. E.g. quasi-topological spaces: concrete sheaves over compact Hausdorff spaces.

This is a quasi-topos which models synthetic topology.

Even: big topos

2. Add probabilities inside this setting.

Staton, Yang, Heunen, Kammar, Wood model for higher order probabilistic programming has the same ingredients (but in opposite direction):

- 1. Standard Giry model for probabilistic computation
- 2. Obtain higher order by (a tailored) Yoneda

26/27

- ( 同 ) ( 日 ) ( 日 ) ( 日 ) ( 日 )

### Conclusions

- Probabilistic computation with continuous data types
- Formalization in HoTT
- Experiment with synthetic topology in HoTT
- Extension of the Giry monad from locales to synthetic topology
- Model for higher order probabilistic computation: Augur/v2