

# The transition system Commands

## Transition system Commands

Configurations:  $\{[C, \sigma] \mid C \text{ a command-sequence, } \sigma \text{ a state}\}$

$[x \leftarrow e; C', \sigma]$	$\triangleright$	$[C', \sigma \langle x:e \rangle]$	
$[\text{if } b \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2; C', \sigma]$	$\triangleright$	$[C_1; C', \sigma]$	<b>if</b> $\sigma(b) = \text{true}$
$[\text{if } b \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2; C', \sigma]$	$\triangleright$	$[C_2; C', \sigma]$	<b>if</b> $\sigma(b) = \text{false}$
$[\text{while } b \text{ do } C; C', \sigma]$	$\triangleright$	$[C; \text{while } b \text{ do } C; C', \sigma]$	<b>if</b> $\sigma(b) = \text{true}$
$[\text{while } b \text{ do } C; C', \sigma]$	$\triangleright$	$[C', \sigma]$	<b>if</b> $\sigma(b) = \text{false}$

# Euclid's algorithm

```
Algorithm Euclid( $m, n$ )  
Input      :  $m, n \geq 1$   
Output     :  $r = \text{gcd}(m_0, n_0)$   
Method     : while  $m \neq n$  do  
             if  $m > n$  then  
                  $m \leftarrow m - n$   
             else  
                  $n \leftarrow n - m;$   
              $r \leftarrow m$ 
```

## Execution of *Euclid*(72,45)

Step#	Action	State#	m	n	r
		0	<b>72</b>	<b>45</b>	
1	$m <> n$				
		1	72	45	
2	$m > n$				
		2	72	45	
3	<b><math>m \leftarrow m - n</math></b>				
		3	<b>27</b>	45	
4	$m <> n$				
		4	27	45	
5	$m > n$				
		5	27	45	
6	<b><math>n \leftarrow n - m</math></b>				
		6	27	<b>18</b>	
7	$m <> n$				
		7	27	18	
8	$m > n$				
		8	27	18	
9	<b><math>m \leftarrow m - n</math></b>				
		9	<b>9</b>	18	
10	$m <> n$				
		10	9	18	
11	$m > n$				
		11	9	18	
12	<b><math>n \leftarrow n - m</math></b>				
		12	9	<b>9</b>	
13	$m <> n$				
		13	9	9	
14	<b><math>r \leftarrow m</math></b>				
		14	9	9	<b>9</b>

# Correctness

**Algorithm**  $A(\dots)$

Input :  $In$

Output :  $Out$

Method :  $C$

**Definition 2.3.1** The algorithm  $A$  is *correct* if any process for the transition system  $Commands$  starting in a configuration  $[C, \sigma]$ , where  $\sigma$  satisfies  $In$ , is finite and ends with a configuration  $\sigma'$  satisfying  $Out$ . □

# Decorations

$[\{I\}\text{while } b \text{ do } C; C', \sigma] \triangleright [C; \{I\}\text{while } b \text{ do } C; C', \sigma] \text{ if } \sigma(b) = \text{true}.$

**Definition 2.3.2** An assertion  $U$  of a decorated algorithm is ***valid for a process*** if for all configurations of the form  $[\{U\}C, \sigma]$  in the process, the assertion  $U$  is satisfied by the state  $\sigma$ . □

## Euclid's algorithm (decorated)

```
Algorithm Euclid( $m, n$ )  
Input      :  $m, n \geq 1$   
Output     :  $r = \text{gcd}(m_0, n_0)$   
Method     : {  $I$  } while  $m \neq n$  do  
             if  $m > n$  then  
                  $m \leftarrow m - n$   
             else  
                  $n \leftarrow n - m;$   
              $r \leftarrow m$ 
```

$$I : \text{gcd}(m, n) = \text{gcd}(m_0, n_0),$$

# Validity

**Algorithm**  $A(\dots)$

Input :  $In$

Output :  $Out$

Method :  $C$

**Definition 2.3.3** The algorithm  $A$  is *valid* if all its assertions are valid for all processes starting in a configuration  $[C, \sigma]$  where  $\sigma$  satisfies  $In$ . □

# Proof-burdens

$$\{U\}C\{V\}$$

For any state  $\sigma$ , if  $\sigma$  satisfies  $U$  and the execution of  $C$  in  $\sigma$  leads to  $\sigma'$  (ie.  $[C, \sigma] \triangleright^* \sigma'$ ), then  $\sigma'$  must satisfy  $V$ .



## Proof principle for simple proof-burdens

**Proof principle for simple proof-burdens** Let  $C = c_1; \dots; c_k$  be a sequence of assignments and let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be the variables of the algorithm. Suppose that  $C$  executed in  $\sigma$  leads to  $\sigma'$ . Then the proof-burden  $\{U\}C\{V\}$  is proved by proving the implication

$$U(x_1, \dots, x_n) \Rightarrow V(x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$$

—where  $x'_1, \dots, x'_n$  are the values of the variables in  $\sigma'$  expressed as functions of their values in  $\sigma$ .

## Proof principle for compound proof-burdens

**Proof principle for compound proof-burdens** A proof-burden of the form  $\{U\}C_1; C_2\{V\}$  gives rise to the proof-burdens

$$\{U\}C_1\{W\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{W\}C_2\{V\}.$$

A proof-burden of the form  $\{U\}\text{if } b \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2\{V\}$  gives rise to the proof-burdens

$$\{U \wedge b\}C_1\{V\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{U \wedge \neg b\}C_2\{V\}.$$

A proof-burden of the form  $\{U\}\text{while } b \text{ do } C\{V\}$  gives rise to the proof-burdens

$$\begin{aligned} U &\Rightarrow I && \text{(basis)} \\ \{I \wedge b\}C\{I\} &&& \text{(invariance)} \\ I \wedge \neg b &\Rightarrow V && \text{(conclusion).} \end{aligned}$$

# Termination

**Algorithm**  $A(\dots)$

Input :  $In$

Output :  $Out$

Method :  $C$

**Definition 2.3.4** We say that  $A$  *terminates* if any process starting in a configuration  $[C, \sigma]$ , where  $\sigma$  satisfies  $In$ , is finite.  $\square$

## Termination principle

**Termination principle for algorithms** Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be the variables of an algorithm A. A terminates if for every loop

$\{I\}$  **while**  $b$  **do**  $C$

in its method with  $I$  as valid invariant, there exists an integer-valued function  $\mu(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  satisfying

a)  $I \Rightarrow \mu(x_1, \dots, x_n) \geq 0$

b)  $I \wedge b \Rightarrow \mu(x_1, \dots, x_n) > \mu(x'_1, \dots, x'_n) \geq 0$

—where  $x'_1, \dots, x'_n$  are the values of the variables after an iteration expressed as functions of their values before.

## Extended version of Euclid's algorithm

**Algorithm** ExtendedEuclid( $m, n$ )

Input :  $m, n \geq 1$

Output :  $(r = \text{gcd}(m_0, n_0)) \wedge (s = \text{lcm}(m_0, n_0))$

Method :  $p \leftarrow m; q \leftarrow n;$

    { $I$ }while  $m \neq n$  do

        if  $m > n$  then

$m \leftarrow m - n; p \leftarrow p + q$

        else

$n \leftarrow n - m; q \leftarrow q + p;$

$r \leftarrow m; s \leftarrow (p + q)/2$

$$I : (mq + np = 2m_0n_0) \wedge (\text{gcd}(m, n) = \text{gcd}(m_0, n_0)).$$

# Factorial

```
Algorithm Factorial( $n$ )  
Input    :  $n \geq 0$   
Output   :  $r = n_0!$   
Method   :  $r \leftarrow 1$ ;  
          {  $I$  } while  $n \neq 0$  do  
             $r \leftarrow r * n$ ;  
             $n \leftarrow n - 1$ ;
```

$$I : (r = n_0! / n!) \wedge (n_0 \geq n \geq 0).$$

## Power sum

**Algorithm** PowerSum( $x, n$ )  
Input :  $(x \neq 0) \wedge (n \geq 0)$   
Constants:  $x, n$   
Output :  $r = \sum_{i=0}^n x^i$   
Method :  $r \leftarrow 1; m \leftarrow 0;$   
          {  $I$  } **while**  $m \neq n$  **do**  
               $r \leftarrow r * x + 1;$   
               $m \leftarrow m + 1;$

$$I : (r = \sum_{i=0}^m x^i) \wedge (n \geq m \geq 0).$$

## Finding maximum in an array

**Algorithm** ArrayMax( $A$ )

Input : true

Constants:  $A$

Output :  $r = \max A$

Method :  $r \leftarrow -\infty; i \leftarrow 0;$

$\{I\}$  **while**  $i \neq |A|$  **do**

**if**  $r < A[i]$  **then**  $r \leftarrow A[i];$

$i \leftarrow i + 1$

$$I : (0 \leq i \leq |A|) \wedge (r = \max A[0..i]) \quad \mu(A, i, r) = |A| - i$$



## Time complexity

**Algorithm**  $A(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

Input :  $In$

Output :  $Out$

Method :  $C$

**Definition 2.6.1** The *time complexity of A* is the function  $T[A]$  taking a state  $\sigma$  satisfying  $In$  to the length of the process starting at  $[C, \sigma]$ .  $\square$

We can regard  $T[A]$  as a function of the input parameters  $x_1, \dots, x_n$

## Time complexity for ArrayMax

```
 $r \leftarrow -\infty; i \leftarrow 0;$   
 $\{I\}$  while  $i \neq |A|$  do  
    if  $r < A[i]$  then  $r \leftarrow A[i];$   
     $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
```

Steps

2

$|A| + 1$

between  $|A|$  and  $2|A|$

$|A|$

Total number of steps:

$$3|A| + 3 \leq T[\text{ArrayMax}](A) \leq 4|A| + 3$$

More precisely,  $T[\text{ArrayMax}](A)$  equals  $3|A| + 3$  plus the number of times  $A[i]$  is strictly larger than  $\max A[0..i]$ , with  $i$  running through the indices  $0, \dots, |A| - 1$ .

# Time complexity for Euclid

```

while  $m \neq n$  do
    if  $m > n$  then
         $m \leftarrow m - n$ 
    else
         $n \leftarrow n - m$ 

```

$m$	$n$	$T$	$m$	$n$	$T$	$m$	$n$	$T$	$m$	$n$	$T$	$m$	$n$	$T$	...
1	1	2	2	1	5	3	1	8	4	1	11	5	1	14	...
1	2	5	2	2	2	3	2	8	4	2	5	5	2	11	...
1	3	8	2	3	8	3	3	2	4	3	11	5	3	11	...
1	4	11	2	4	5	3	4	11	4	4	2	5	4	14	...
1	5	14	2	5	11	3	5	11	4	5	14	5	5	2	...
1	6	17	2	6	8	3	6	5	4	6	8	5	6	17	...
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	

## Worst-case time complexity

**Algorithm**  $A(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

Input :  $In$

Output :  $Out$

Method :  $C$

**Definition 2.6.2** Let  $size$  be a function mapping states satisfying  $In$  to non-negative integers. The *worst-case time complexity* of  $A$  is the function mapping  $n \geq 0$  to the maximum of  $T[A](\sigma)$  for states  $\sigma$  (satisfying  $In$ ) with  $size(\sigma) = n$ .  $\square$

We can regard  $size$  as a function of the input parameters  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and write

$$T[\text{ArrayMax}](A) = 4|A| + 3 \in \mathcal{O}(|A|)$$

## Exponentiation in linear time

**Algorithm** LinExp( $x, p$ )

Input :  $p \geq 0$

Constants:  $x, p$

Output :  $r = x^p$

Method :  $r \leftarrow 1; q \leftarrow p;$

    {I} **while**  $q > 0$  **do**

$r \leftarrow r * x; q \leftarrow q - 1$

$$I : (rx^q = x^p) \wedge (q \geq 0) \quad \mu(x, p, r, q) = q$$

## Exponentiation in logarithmic time

**Algorithm** LogExp( $x, p$ )

Input :  $p \geq 0$

Constants:  $x, p$

Output :  $r = x^p$

Method :  $r \leftarrow 1; q \leftarrow p; h \leftarrow x;$

    {I} **while**  $q > 0$  **do**

**if**  $q$  even **then**


$q \leftarrow q/2; h \leftarrow h * h$

**else**

$q \leftarrow q - 1; r \leftarrow r * h$

$$I : (rh^q = x^p) \wedge (q \geq 0) \quad \mu(x, p, r, q, h) = q$$

# Scanning



$$I : (0 \leq i \leq |A|) \wedge I' \quad \mu(A, i, \dots) = |A| - i$$

```
<< init >>;  $i \leftarrow 0$ ;  
{ $I$ } while  $i \neq |A|$  do  
    << update >>;  $i \leftarrow i + 1$ ;  
<< end >>
```

## Linear search

**Algorithm** LinearSearch( $A, s$ )

Input : true

Constants:  $A, s$

Output :  $(0 \leq r \leq |A|) \wedge (s \notin A[0..r]) \wedge (r = |A| \vee A[r] = s)$

Method :  $r \leftarrow 0;$

$\{I\}$  **while**  $(r \neq |A|) \wedge (A[r] \neq s)$  **do**  
 $r \leftarrow r + 1;$

$$I : (0 \leq r \leq |A|) \wedge (s \notin A[0..r]) \quad \mu(A, s, r) = |A| - r$$




## Binary search: specification and invariant

**Algorithm** BinarySearch( $A, s$ )

Input :  $A$  sorted

Constants:  $A, s$

Output :  $(0 \leq r \leq |A|) \wedge (A[0..r] < s) \wedge (s \leq A[r..|A|])$



```
 $low \leftarrow 0; high \leftarrow |A|;$   
 $\{I\}$  while  $low \neq high$  do  
     $\ll$  narrow the gap  $\gg;$   
 $r \leftarrow low$ 
```

## Binary search: the algorithm

**Algorithm** BinarySearch( $A, s$ )

Input :  $A$  sorted

Constants:  $A, s$

Output :  $(0 \leq r \leq |A|) \wedge (A[0..r] < s) \wedge (s \leq A[r..|A|])$

Method :  $low \leftarrow 0; high \leftarrow |A|;$

    { $I$ } **while**  $low \neq high$  **do**

$m \leftarrow (low + high) / 2;$

**if**  $A[m] < s$  **then**

$low \leftarrow m + 1$

**else**

$high \leftarrow m;$

$r \leftarrow low$

$I : (0 \leq low \leq high \leq |A|) \wedge (A[0..low] < s) \wedge (s \leq A[high..|A|])$

$\mu(A, s, low, high, m, r) = high - low$

## Insertion sort

**Algorithm** InsertionSort( $A$ )

Input : true

Output :  $(A \text{ perm } A_0) \wedge (A \text{ sorted})$

Method :  $i \leftarrow 0$ ;

$\{I\}$  **while**  $i \neq |A|$  **do**

$j \leftarrow i$ ;

$\{J\}$  **while**  $(j \neq 0) \wedge (A[j-1] > A[j])$  **do**

$A[j-1] \leftrightarrow A[j]$ ;

$j \leftarrow j - 1$ ;

$i \leftarrow i + 1$

$I : (A \text{ perm } A_0) \wedge (0 \leq i \leq |A|) \wedge (A[0..i] \text{ sorted})$

$J : (A \text{ perm } A_0) \wedge (0 \leq j \leq i < |A|) \wedge (A[0..j], A[j..i+1] \text{ sorted})$

$$\mu_I(A, i, j) = |A| - i \quad \mu_J(A, i, j) = j$$

## Merge sort

**Algorithm** MergeSort( $A$ )

Input : true

Output :  $(A \text{ perm } A_0) \wedge (A \text{ sorted})$

Method : **if**  $|A| > 1$  **then**  
     $B \leftarrow A[0..|A|/2];$   
     $C \leftarrow A[|A|/2..|A|];$   
    MergeSort( $B$ );  
    MergeSort( $C$ );  
    { $W$ } Merge( $A, B, C$ )

**Algorithm** Merge( $A, B, C$ )

Input :  $(|A| = |B| + |C|) \wedge (B, C \text{ sorted})$


Constants:  $B, C$

Output :  $(A \text{ perm } BC) \wedge (A \text{ sorted})$

$W : (A = A_0) \wedge (B \text{ perm } A_1) \wedge (C \text{ perm } A_2) \wedge (B, C \text{ sorted})$

$\mu(A) = |A|$

# Merge sort: time complexity, assuming $n$ a power of 2



Time spent at level  $i$  (for  $0 \leq i \leq \log n$ ):  $2^i \cdot n/2^i = n$  time units.

## Merge: specification

**Algorithm** Merge( $A, B, C$ )

Input :  $(|A| = |B| + |C|) \wedge (B, C \text{ sorted})$

Constants:  $B, C$

Output :  $(A \text{ perm } BC) \wedge (A \text{ sorted})$


So, with  $|A| = 11$  and

$$B = [1, 1, 4, 7, 8] \quad \text{and} \quad C = [1, 2, 2, 3, 4, 7]$$

we should obtain

$$A = [1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 4, 4, 7, 7, 8].$$

## Merge: scanning invariant



$$I : (|A| = |B| + |C|) \wedge (0 \leq i \leq |B|) \wedge (0 \leq j \leq |C|) \wedge (A[0..i+j] \text{ perm } B[0..i]C[0..j]) \wedge (A[0..i+j] \text{ sorted})$$

```
<< init >>;  
{I} while  $i + j \neq |A|$  do  
    << update >>;  
<< end >>
```

## Merge: the algorithm

**Algorithm** Merge( $A, B, C$ )

Input :  $(|A| = |B| + |C|) \wedge (B, C \text{ sorted})$

Constants:  $B, C$

Output :  $(A \text{ perm } BC) \wedge (A \text{ sorted})$

Method :  $i \leftarrow 0; j \leftarrow 0;$

$\{I\}$  **while**  $i + j \neq |A|$  **do**

**if**  $(i < |B|) \wedge (j = |C| \vee B[i] \leq C[j])$  **then**

$A[i + j] \leftarrow B[i]; i \leftarrow i + 1$

**else**


$A[i + j] \leftarrow C[j]; j \leftarrow j + 1$

$I : (|A| = |B| + |C|) \wedge (0 \leq i \leq |B|) \wedge (0 \leq j \leq |C|) \wedge$   
 $(A[0..i + j] \text{ perm } B[0..i]C[0..j]) \wedge (A[0..i + j] \text{ sorted})$

$$\mu(A, B, C, i, j) = |A| - (i + j)$$



## Dutch flag: specification




**Algorithm** DutchFlag( $A, s$ )

Input : true

Constants:  $s$

Output :  $(A \text{ perm } A_0) \wedge (0 \leq w \leq b \leq |A|) \wedge$   
 $(A[0..w] < s) \wedge (A[w..b] = s) \wedge (A[b..|A|] > s)$

## Dutch flag: scanning invariant




$$I : (A \text{ perm } A_0) \wedge (0 \leq w \leq b \leq i \leq |A|) \wedge \\ (A[0..w] < s) \wedge (A[w..b] = s) \wedge (A[b..i] > s)$$

```
<< init >>;  $i \leftarrow 0$ ;  
{ $I$ } while  $i \neq |A|$  do  
    << update >>;  $i \leftarrow i + 1$ ;  
<< end >>
```

## Dutch flag: basis

$\{\text{true}\} \ll \text{init} \gg; i \leftarrow 0\{I\}$



$\ll \text{init} \gg = w \leftarrow 0; b \leftarrow 0$


## Dutch flag: invariance

$$\{I \wedge i \neq |A|\} \ll \text{update} \gg; i \leftarrow i + 1 \{I\}$$
$$\begin{aligned} \ll \text{update} \gg = & \text{if } A[i] < s \text{ then} \\ & \ll \text{red} \gg \\ & \text{else if } A[i] = s \text{ then} \\ & \ll \text{white} \gg \\ & \text{else} \\ & \ll \text{blue} \gg \end{aligned}$$

- 1 :  $\{I \wedge (i \neq |A|) \wedge (A[i] < s)\} \ll \text{red} \gg; i \leftarrow i + 1 \{I\}$
- 2 :  $\{I \wedge (i \neq |A|) \wedge (A[i] = s)\} \ll \text{white} \gg; i \leftarrow i + 1 \{I\}$
- 3 :  $\{I \wedge (i \neq |A|) \wedge (A[i] > s)\} \ll \text{blue} \gg; i \leftarrow i + 1 \{I\}$

## Dutch flag: invariance, red


$$\{I \wedge (i \neq |A|) \wedge (A[i] < s)\} \ll \text{red} \gg; i \leftarrow i + 1 \{I\}$$



$$\ll \text{red} \gg = A[i] \leftrightarrow A[w]; w \leftarrow w + 1; A[i] \leftrightarrow A[b]; b \leftarrow b + 1$$

## Dutch flag: invariance, white


$$\{I \wedge (i \neq |A|) \wedge (A[i] = s)\} \ll \text{white} \gg; i \leftarrow i + 1 \{I\}$$



$$\ll \text{white} \gg = A[i] \leftrightarrow A[b]; b \leftarrow b + 1$$

## Dutch flag: invariance, blue


$$\{I \wedge (i \neq |A|) \wedge (A[i] > s)\} \ll \text{blue} \gg; i \leftarrow i + 1 \{I\}$$



$$\ll \text{blue} \gg = \lambda$$

## Dutch flag: conclusion

$$\{I \wedge (i = |A|)\} \ll \text{end} \gg \{Out\}$$



$$\ll \text{end} \gg = \lambda$$



## Dutch flag: the algorithm

**Algorithm** DutchFlag( $A, s$ )

Input : true

Constants:  $s$

Output :  $(A \text{ perm } A_0) \wedge (0 \leq w \leq b \leq |A|) \wedge$   
 $(A[0..w] < s) \wedge (A[w..b] = s) \wedge (A[b..|A|] > s)$

Method :  $w \leftarrow 0; b \leftarrow 0; i \leftarrow 0;$

$\{I\}$  **while**  $i \neq |A|$  **do**

**if**  $A[i] < s$  **then**

$A[i] \leftrightarrow A[w]; w \leftarrow w + 1; A[i] \leftrightarrow A[b]; b \leftarrow b + 1$

**else if**  $A[i] = s$  **then**


$A[i] \leftrightarrow A[b]; b \leftarrow b + 1;$

$i \leftarrow i + 1$

$I : (A \text{ perm } A_0) \wedge (0 \leq w \leq b \leq i \leq |A|) \wedge$   
 $(A[0..w] < s) \wedge (A[w..b] = s) \wedge (A[b..i] > s)$

$$\mu(A, s, w, b, i) = |A| - i$$

## Dutch flag: from *low* to *high*



**Algorithm** DutchFlag( $A, low, high, s$ )

Input :  $0 \leq low \leq high \leq |A|$

Constants:  $low, high, A[0..low], A[high..|A|], s$

Output :  $(A[low..high] \text{ perm } A_0[low..high]) \wedge$

$(low \leq w \leq b \leq high) \wedge$

$(A[low..w] < s) \wedge (A[w..b] = s) \wedge (A[b..high] > s)$

## Quick sort

**Algorithm** QuickSort( $A, low, high$ )

Input :  $0 \leq low \leq high \leq |A|$

Constants:  $low, high, A[0..low], A[high..|A|]$

Output :  $(A[low..high] \text{ perm } A_0[low..high]) \wedge (A[low..high] \text{ sorted})$

Method : **if**  $high - low > 1$  **then**

$r \leftarrow \text{random}(low, high);$

$(w, b) \leftarrow \text{DutchFlag}(A, low, high, A[r]);$

QuickSort( $A, low, w$ );

QuickSort( $A, b, high$ )

$$\mu(A, low, high) = high - low$$

## Quick select

```
Algorithm QuickSelect(A, low, high, k)  
Input      : ( $0 \leq low \leq k < high \leq |A|$ )  
Constants: low, high, A[0..low], A[high..|A|], k  
Output     : (A[low..high] perm A0[low..high])  $\wedge$   
            (A[low..k]  $\leq$  A[k]  $\leq$  A[k..high])  
Method     : r  $\leftarrow$  random(low, high);  
            (w, b)  $\leftarrow$  DutchFlag(A, low, high, A[r]);  
            if k < w then  
                QuickSelect(A, low, w, k)  
            else if k  $\geq$  b then  
                QuickSelect(A, b, high, k)
```

$$\mu(A, low, high) = high - low$$

## Maximal subsum

**Algorithm** MaxSubsum( $A$ )

Input : true

Constants:  $A$

Output :  $r = \text{ms}(A)$

Method :  $\llcorner \text{init} \ggcorner; i \leftarrow 0;$   
           $\{I\}$  **while**  $i \neq |A|$  **do**  
                   $\llcorner \text{update} \ggcorner; i \leftarrow i + 1;$   
           $\llcorner \text{end} \ggcorner$

$$I : (0 \leq i \leq |A|) \wedge (r = \text{ms}(A[0..i])) \wedge \dots$$

## Maximal subsum: maintaining the invariant

$\text{ms}(A[0..i+1])$  is the maximum of

the maximal subsum obtained by not using  $A[i]$ , and  
the maximal subsum obtained by using  $A[i]$

So, we remember also the *maximal right subsum*  $\text{mrs}(A[0..i])$

$$I : (0 \leq i \leq |A|) \wedge (r = \text{ms}(A[0..i])) \wedge (h = \text{mrs}(A[0..i]))$$

## Maximal subsum: the algorithm

**Algorithm** MaxSubsum( $A$ )

Input : true

Constants:  $A$

Output :  $m = \text{ms}(A)$

Method :  $r \leftarrow 0; h \leftarrow 0; i \leftarrow 0;$

$\{I\}$  **while**  $i \neq |A|$  **do**

$h \leftarrow \max\{h + A[i], 0\};$

$r \leftarrow \max\{r, h\};$

$i \leftarrow i + 1$

$$\mu(A, r, h, i) = |A| - i$$

# Longest monotone sequence

**Algorithm** LLMS( $A$ )

Input : true

Constants:  $A$

Output :  $r = \text{llms}(A)$

Method :  $\ll \text{init} \gg; i \leftarrow 0;$   
           $\{I\} \mathbf{while} \ i \neq |A| \ \mathbf{do}$   
               $\ll \text{update} \gg; i \leftarrow i + 1;$   
           $\ll \text{end} \gg$

$$I : (0 \leq i \leq |A|) \wedge (r = \text{llms}(A[0..i])) \wedge \dots$$



## Longest monotone sequence: maintaining the invariant

In the  $i$ 'th iteration of the loop,  $B[l]$  for  $1 \leq l \leq |A|$  must contain the necessary information about a monotone sequence from  $A[0..i]$  of length  $l$  whose last element is minimal (if there is no sequence of length  $l$ , we just record that).

$$I : (0 \leq i \leq |A|) \wedge (r = \text{llms}(A[0..i])) \wedge \\ (B[l] = \text{ms}_l(A[0..i]) \text{ for } 0 \leq l \leq |A|)$$

$$\text{ms}_l(A[0..i]) = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } l = 0 \\ \text{minimal last element in} \\ \text{a monotone sequence of} & \text{if such exists} \\ \text{length } l \text{ from } A[0..i] & \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

## Longest monotone sequence: the algorithm

**Algorithm** LLMS( $A$ )

Input : true

Constants:  $A$

Output :  $r = \text{llms}(A)$

Method :  $B \leftarrow [ |A| + 1 : \infty ]$ ;  $B[0] \leftarrow -\infty$ ;  $r \leftarrow 0$ ;  $i \leftarrow 0$ ;

$\{I\}$  **while**  $i \neq |A|$  **do**

$l \leftarrow \text{BinarySearch}'(B, A[i]);$

$B[l] \leftarrow A[i]$ ;  $r \leftarrow \max\{r, l\}$ ;  $i \leftarrow i + 1$

$$\mu(A, B, r, i) = |A| - i$$

**Algorithm** BinarySearch'( $B, s$ )

Input :  $B$  sorted

Constants:  $B, s$

Output :  $(0 \leq l \leq |B|) \wedge (B[0..l] \leq s) \wedge (s < B[l..|B|])$